

## Curriculum Committee Meeting Thursday, 30 April 2020 at 5.30 pm Via VIDEOCONFERENCE

**Chair: Nick REGAN** 

#### **MINUTES**

#### Present:

Nick REGAN (Chair) - NR
Steven Mackay (Headteacher) - SMK
Tahir Darr - TD
Michelle O'Doherty - MOD
Verity Lewis - VL
Dunac Giles - DG

#### In attendance:

Jonathan Reeves (Deputy Head) Jeremy Kaye (Clerk)

	Item	Action
1.	Welcome and Introductions  The chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and thanked them for their attendance.	Chair
2.	Procedural items	
	2.1 Apologies An apology was recorded from SWe	
	2.2 Confirmation of Quorum  It was agreed that the meeting was quorate	Chair
	2.3 Declarations of Interests None were notified	
	2.4 Notification of Any Other Urgent Business None were requested or agreed	
3.	The minutes of the last Curriculum meeting on 9 January 2020	
	3.1 The minutes were APPROVED and will be signed	Chair

# 3.2 Matters arising from the minutes Item 7 – COMPLETED Chair

### 4. GCSE / A level awards

Item 8 - CARRIED FORWARD

It was explained that a document had been uploaded to GH to explain and JR ran through the process. SMK explained the guidance to staff.

#### GCSE's

The grading was discussed and whilst the school was expecting an improvement in grades this was unlikely to show in the final results due to way the grading would be done this year. We will submit what we feel should have been achieved but pupils may well not get those grades. Statistical models will be used. SMK said this may sound unfair but this is the way it is. It was discussed that these grades were not for discussion and could not be changed unless the pupil sat an exam in the Autumn.

**Q: NR:** Was there any plan for tuition for pupils wishing to sit exams in the Autumn?

A: SMK: No.

**Q: DG:** How is the faculty dealing with these issues? A: JR: Explained rankings. Teachers were all onside and their input, and how the ranking will work.

Q: NR: Is it reasonable and can it be done?
A: JR: Yes, the ranking can be done, the grading will be more difficult.

**Q: DG:** Are you expecting parental pressure? **A: JR:** Yes, results day will be challenging and there will be anger and frustration.

There was a discussion around exam malpractice around grades.

SMK explained that the system and the government just do not allow flexibility. UCAS applications were explained and he said that universities would have to take a flexible approach.

It was discussed that A level results where the school was not expected to have made such good improvement was likely to be more as expected. The same system will be used and we will do 'OK'.

It was <b>AGREED</b> that school tables going for	vard would be
unusable.	
5&6. Items 5 (Home & in-school learning since cl 6 (Learning & Teaching post Easter holiday) together	
A summary of what had been done on the Easter was given by SMK. Marking and feed introduced to show work is valued.	·
We use online teaching in principle but devissue.	vice access is an
SMK explained the workload of young teac	thers with families.
Q: TD: For maths does each teacher record as a resource for if a student needs to acce	
A: SMK: Yes, this is done in some subjects	
There are 15 pupils in school, 9 vulnerable a key worker.	ind the balance
There was a discussion around scheduling of papers, postal work, online students and ho accessed.	·
Homework was discussed and how complic year group.	ance varied by
TD accepted the role of eLearning governo	or.
SMK explained the survey on re-opening sc	hools.
7. <b>Any other URGENT Business</b> (as previously as There was no AOB	greed in item 2.4)
12. The date of the next meeting was agreed a	s THURSDAY 2 JULY

Sia	ned	on:

By: